### Approach to Incorporating Climate Change in the Probabilistic Performance Assessment



## Topics

- Climate Change
  - Scenarios of future change

- Erosion
  - Impacts of future change on gully head retreat and hillslope failure



 Future scenarios of climate come from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) analyses

 These scenarios are generated using both complex models and assumptions about the future (i.e. year 2100)





 The goal of these climate change scenarios is not to predict the future

 The goal is to assess the impacts of different scenarios of human activity on key aspects of the global climate system



 This necessarily involves lots of assumptions (e.g. concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere)

 The Neptune approach uses the state of the science for climate models to inform the Performance Assessment



 The Erosion Working Group (EWG) used output from General Circulation Models (GCMs) to simulate impacts of increased precipitation on future erosion rates

 GCMs use inputs about future population, energy use, and land use



# **Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs)**

 RCPs are collections of assumptions about population growth, energy use patterns, and land use change and their effect on greenhouse gas concentrations

 Economic development associated with energy usage (e.g. how much and from what sources) is an important driver of future climate



#### **Data Flow**





## **Global Surface Temperature Change**



 Multiple scenarios used by the EWG to simulate future erosion

We selected the scenario with the highest increases in CO<sub>2</sub> (RCP8.5), highest population growth, etc.



 Climate change was depicted out to 2100

 After 2100, it is assumed that the climate forcing stabilizes

• EWG erosion models are run out several thousand years after that





Ø

In terms of precipitation RCP 8.5 corresponds to

- Same number of days of precipitation
- Increase in intensity of precipitation events
- Increase in the annual precipitation total



 Output from GCMs driven by RCPs are spatial grids of projected temperature and precipitation

 These grids cells are large and need to be "downscaled" for applications like the EWG modeling



#### MEAN ANNUAL PRECIPITATION





#### MEAN ANNUAL PRECIPITATION

#### MEAN WET DAY FREQUENCY

#### MEAN WET DAY INTENSITY



Figure 11.2: 30-year climate normals derived from MACAv2-METDATA daily for mean annual precipitation (left), mean wet day frequency >0.8 mm/day (center), and mean wet day intensity >0.8 mm/day (right). Top panels are based historic training results (1970-1999) and bottom panels are based on RCP 8.5 (2070-2099). Black crosses are locations of all GHCN stations with the two shown in Figure 5.2 highlighted as large stars. Tick marks on maps are in 15 mile increments and the Frank's Creek watershed is shown using a bold black line.





Ý

West Valley Quarterly Public Meeting • August 2019







West Valley Quarterly Public Meeting • August 2019

Ø

### **EWG Gully Head Migration Rates**



Density

Gully Head Migration Rate [m/yr]

## **Climate Change: Erosion**

 EWG estimates of the impact of climate change on erosion rates were quantified

 These were applied to the rates of erosion estimated from Neptune's analysis of historical aerial imagery



## **Gully Head Migration Rate**

- Historical aerial image estimate is 0.117 m/yr
- Adjusted for impacts of climate change using EWG information yields 0.141 m/yr, a 21% increase



#### **Gully Head Migration Rates**



Year

## **Hillslope Failure Rate**

 Historical aerial image estimate is 0.0216 m/yr

 Adjusted for impacts of climate change using EWG information yields 0.0331 m/yr, a 53% increase



## Summary

#### Erosion

 Future climate change results in increased rates of erosion for gully head advance and hillslope failure

